Case: 8878

Case: 5685

Case: 6684

# Architectural Review Board Meeting Minutes April 22, 2021

**Board Present:** Jordan Berliner, Chairman; Brad Hix, Bob Manne, Don Schnackel, James Slavetskas, Dale Strecker, Bob Zinn,

Absent with notice: N/A

**Staff Present:** Michele Chisolm

Call to Order: 7:58 AM

**Minutes:** Bob Manne motioned to approve the ARB meeting minutes for March 25, 2021. Bob Zinn seconded the motion. The March Meeting Minutes were unanimously approved as amended.

Meeting Adjourned: 9.00am

### Appeal update:

On April 20, 2021, a Homeowner appealed to the Executive Committee to reconsider the Architectural Review Board's decision to deny her selected paint colors. The Homeowner requested to paint her home a lighter color. The Board denied the color selections. The proposed colors simply read as white, and the Design Guidelines very clearly state that the significant use of white or black tones is discouraged and may not be approved. The Executive Committee listened to the Homeowner's testimony and reviewed two new color selections. The Executive Committee and the Chairman of the Architectural Review Board approved one of the two selections submitted by the Owner.

#### **Submissions:**

1. Lot 31 Bobcat Lane #21

Lake

Preliminary Review: Submitted plans requesting a 10' variance for new construction of a single-family home on a corner patio Lot. The 10' variance was needed for entry to the garage. The 10' variance was granted, and the preliminary plans were approved. The color selection was not approved. The Board encourages the Owner to move forward and submit architectural plans for final review.

Comments from the Board:

- The Board suggest that the Owner push the garage to the setback line to bring the parking pad section of the driveway off the property line.
- The Sherwin Williams color selection Ice Cube was too light and will appear white on the home. The Board request that the Owner re-submit the other color selections.

## 2. Lot 3 Jingle Shell lane #5

Cron

Final Review: Submitted plans to add a screen porch addition. Owner is requesting a 12" variance at the rear of the property to align with the existing corner of the house. The plans submitted were granted a Final Approval. A final approval letter was forwarded to the Owner and Contractor.

### 3. Lot 230 Sweetwater Lane #7

Alley

Final Review: Submitted plans to add a work study room between two existing structures of the house. The plans submitted were granted a Final Approval. A final approval letter was forwarded to the Owner.

Case: 8877

Case: 8803

Case: 7938

Case: 7951

Case: 8856

## 4. Lot 9 Dolphin Point Lane #6

Butler

Concept Review: Submitted preliminary plans requesting a 4'4" height variance for a new construction. The variance was not approved.

#### Comments from the Board:

 The design was aesthetically massive front scale and the mass was inappropriate for the neighboring homes.

### 5. Lot 30 Myrtle Bank Road #14

Meister

Concept Review: Submitted concept plans requesting a 10'4" variance in the rear and 4'8" variance on the side for a pool enclosure. The variance request was denied.

#### Comments from the Board:

• The variance was too massive.

## 6. Lot 515 Flagship Lane #29

**Iaccard** 

Final Review: Submitted plans to extend porch over existing planters, add metal roof and glass guard rails. The plans submitted were granted Final Approval. A final approval was forwarded to the Owner.

#### 7. Lot 154 Dolphin Head Drive #51

Sages

Concept Review: Submitted plans for a circular driveway. The concept was approved.

#### Comments from the Board:

• Re-submit the driveway design and dimensions on the current as built survey along with a Landscape plan.

# 8. Lot 26 China Cockle Lane #18

**Pankow** 

Final Review: Submitted plans requesting a 5' variance to extend the living (wire with plant material) pool barrier. The plans submitted were denied.

#### Comments from the Board:

Extending the pool barrier would violate the Guidelines and Rules and Regulations.

### 9. Lot 19 Seabrook Landing Drive #41

Dixon

Final Review: Submitted a landscape plan for a new construction. The plans submitted were granted a Final Approval. A final approval letter was forwarded to the Owner and Contractor.

### 10. Parcel 8 Seabrook Drive

Case: N/A

Case: 8829

Coleman

Discussion: The Property Owner requested to know if the Architectural Review Board would approve a new construction for a single family home on Parcel 8 Seabrook Drive.

## Comments from the Board:

- The property must be rezoned for a single family dwelling, and infrastructure.
- The Live Oaks must be saved
- The Owner must submit plans that meet ARB Guidelines
- The Owner must provide all other required documents required for single family dwellings in HHP.

# **Administrative:**

1.	Lot 46 Fox Den Court #9	Landscape	Case: 5353
2.	Lot 38 Bayley Point Lane #16	Deck/railing	Case: 7871
3.	Lot 358 Pine Sky Court #6	Spa	Case: 8251
4.	Lot 236 Flying King Court #18	Driveway	Case: 6637
5.	Lot 130 Headlands Drive #45	Deck	Case: 6333
6.	Lot 293 Florencia Court #3	Window	Case: 8048
7.	Lot 44 Redstart Path #23	Patio	Case: 6181
8.	Lot 39 Bayley Point Lane #20	Stucco	Case: 8213
9.	Lot 213 Cypress Marsh Drive #8	Deck	Case: 7277
10.	Lot 27 Angel Wing Drive #30	Window	Case: 5680
11.	Lot 272 Ellentia Drive #21	Deck	Case: 6572
12.	Lot 43 Fox Den Court #15	Drive/walk	Case: 5561
13.	Lot 22 Blackgum Place #5	Roof revision	Case: 7992
14.	Lot 14 Adventure Galley Lane #5	Replaster	Case: 7571
15.	Lot 5 Field Sparrow Road #36	Window	Case: 6761
16.	Lot 226 Cadogan Court #3	Patio Ext.	Case: 6505
17.	Lot 148 Misty Morning Drive #33	Garage Door	Case: 5915
18.	Lot 139 Persimmon Place #28	Awning	Case: 6558

# **April Fees**

Review Fees: \$ 4,400 Administrative Fees: \$ 1,000 **Total Monthly Review Fees:** \$ 5,400 Y.T.D. \$ 43,975

**Monthly Tree Mitigation Fees:** \$ 1,061 Y.T.D. \$ 5,345

**Monthly Fines Collected:** \$ 0 Fines Y.T.D. \$ 250

The next scheduled ARB Meeting will be May 27, 2021.